

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/584,960	11/04/2008	David Threadgill	421/99 PCT/US	3931	
25297 IENKINS WI	7590 09/14/201 LSON, TAYLOR & HI		EXAM	UNER	
3100 Tower B			DENT, ALANA HARRIS		
Suite 1200 DURHAM, N	C 27707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/584,960	THREADGILL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Alana Harris Dent, Ph.D.	1643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview		
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.			
4)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		

	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				

<li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li>
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
9) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119

,	
3) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🔲 All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1)	ш	Notice	
----	---	--------	--

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol>	
Paper Norce/Mail Flate	611 Other	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,960 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method for screening a plurality of compounds for an ability to bind to a heterodimer of EGFR and another ERBB family member.

Group II, claim(s) 13, drawn to a compound identified by method of claim 1.

Group III, claim(s) 14-19, drawn to a method for suppressing the growth of a tumor associated with EGFR heterodimer activity comprising administering a compound of claim 13.

Group IV, claim(s) 20-22, drawn to a method for identifying an antibody that specifically binds to an EGFR heterodimer.

Group V, claim(s) 23-28, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibits formation of a heterodimer between EGFR and another ERBB family member.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method claims all read on different assays, which require separable and distinct method steps, yield different method endpoints and utilize unique

Application/Control Number: 10/584,960

Art Unit: 1643

reagents. The technical feature recited in claim 1 is not special. Moreover, the compound of Group II can be used in different and distinct methods of Group III and Group V. Accordingly, the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), Applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1643

showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1643

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Fallure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alana Harris Dent, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The Examiner works a *flexible schedule*, Art Unit: 1643

however she can normally be reached on 8 am to 8 pm, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Misook Yu, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alana Harris Dent, Ph.D. 07 September 2011 /Alana Harris Dent, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643